

External Examiners' Report

Please note that the completed report form will be made available to students and staff therefore please do not identify individual students or staff by name or candidate number. If you wish to bring to the attention of the University issues pertaining to a confidential matter, please do this separately by contacting the Academic Registrar at the University of Law.

2 © The



2c: Please comment on each of the following with examples:

xWhether the assessments (formative and summative) were well-designed, valid and reliable;

xwhether they assessed appropriately the learning outcomes set for the programme;

xwhether they were sufficiently challenging for students in the context of the subject matter and the course.

The module was well covered in the questions set and robustly challenged the students understanding of the material whilst ensuring learning outcomes were addressed. There was a good mix of problem questions and essay questions which required the students to be cognisant of the learning outcomes to get a good mark.

Standard of Student Performance

3. Please comment on the following:

From the student work you sampled, whether the standards of student performance were comparable with similar programmes and subjects in other UK higher education institutions with which you are familiar.

The samples sent were comparable with programmes within my home institution and the outcomes were of parity, especially within the UoL organisation across campuses.

Marking and Moderation

4a: Did you receive:

AesiMCID p 36 256.92 0.481 25.2 r256.946(t)2(hi)a ote cca56.9p1 254(om)6* [(c)4(am)7(pus)4(2

© The University of Law 2023



- xwhether the marks awarded were reflective of the standards expected at that particular level and for all students;
- xwhether the marking criteria was presented clearly and appropriately differentiated across bands;
- xwhether the standard of work that you sampled was comparable across different locations (e.g., ULaw campuses and/or partnerships in the case of collaborative provision).
- x The standard of marking and moderation was to an exceptional standard and the detailed feedback to students was a high standard especially for those that had failed their assessment.
- x The marks were reflective of the level the student was writing towards.
- x Yes, marking criteria was rigorous but fair.
- x In general, the marking was comparable across campuses

Conduct of the Examination/Awards Board

5a: Did you:	Υ	N
Attend the examination/awards board?	Υ	
If "Yes", how many and which ones? 21st July 2022 - GDL/MA 22nd July 2022 - IFP 9th September 2022 - AMIL 4th October 2022 - AMIL 13th December 2022 - AMIL 14th February 2023 - GDL/MA Concessions Board 14th March 2023 - AMIL 11th July 2023 - AMIL 20th July 2023 - GDL/MA		
5b: Conduct of the Board:	Υ	N
Were the Boards you attended conducted in accordance with the University Assessment Regulations, including procedures relating to students with concessions?	Y	
Were you satisfied with the recommendations of the Board?	Υ	
If "No" to any of the above, please comment below:	<u> </u>	

Academic Standards of the Programme



Feedback standards are in the main exceptional.
Other Comments
8a. Do you have any suggestions for ways in which the University would enhance the student learning experience?
Authentic assessment
8b. Do you have any other comments to make on areas not covered elsewhere in this report?
Type your text here